

Review Process Contributions 2nd European Machine Vision Forum, Sep. 6-8, 2017

For the review process, a conference management software has been set up to provide a platform for managing the submission, review, and voting process for the talks, posters, software and hardware demonstrations for the 2nd European Machine Vision Forum. In contrast to most other review processes, which typically are double-blind, an open review process is set up in order to foster communication between all participants:

Authors (A) make submissions before the deadlines end, at least one of the authors agrees to participate in the forum. Multiple authors per submission are possible as well as multiple submissions per author. Each submission requires one **Main Author (MA)**. He is the contact person for the submission and receives an account. The **Program Committee (PC)** consists of the members of the Joint Scientific and Industrial Advisory Board (**jSIAB**) of the forum. Each member will receive an account.

The review is based on the submitted two-page **Extended Abstracts (EA)** and consists of several phases:

Phase 1 (“Submission”) with deadline for contributed talks June 2, deadline for posters and hardware/software demonstrations August 11

Authors can submit an extended abstract (EA, in pdf format). Each submission is assigned to the category “Poster or Talk”, “Poster”, or “Software/Hardware Demo”. The user must be one of the authors (preferably the first author).

Phase 2 (“Discussion”) with deadline for contributed talks on June 21, deadline for posters and hardware/software demonstrations on August 18

All participants (MA&PC members) can read all submissions as well as all reviews. The names of all authors and the names of all reviewers are visible next to the review/submission. All participants can engage in discussions for each submission they find interesting and innovative (or not). Comments are chronological and openly signed with the user’s name. The MA for the individual submission is encouraged to engage the discussion with the participants to clear up misunderstandings etc. Participants can also openly discuss the content of the reviews and the individual PC member that created the reviews are invited to discuss their views in the same forum. There is only one comment section for each submission (EA and reviews are discussed in the same single forum).

Phase 3 (“Voting”)

Each PC member gets 10, each MA 4 votes. Every participant shall assign his or her votes to the “Poster or Talk” contributions he/she would like to see as a talk. One participant can at maximum give 1 vote per contribution (so either 0 or 1 vote) and must not vote for his or her own contribution.

Phase 4 (“Decision”) on meeting of the jSIAB in Prague on Thursday, June 22

All submissions are ranked according to the number of votes. The 10 to 12 best (=most number of votes) submissions are chosen for the talk schedule. All other submissions are invited for poster presentations. On its meeting on June 22, the jSIAB can choose to differ from the voted ranking but has to give a written statement, why this change was done. This statement, the final voting result and final program of the forum (except for the posters and demos sessions, which will be published only after August 18) will be visible to all participants shortly after June 22 (latest on Monday, June 26).